IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 1694 of 2005

Between:

L.P. Jananeswar Goud, S/o. Late Sambaiah, Hindu,
R/o. 11-2-472/3, Namalagundu, Secunderabad

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District.
2 The Dy. Director, Survey & Land Records, Ranga Reddy District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Malkajgiri Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of issue a Writ, or any other appropriate Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the Respondents in disposing the representation of the petitioner dt. 1-7-2004 as highly arbitrary, unjust, and in violation of Articles 14 and 21 of Constitution of India and principles of natural justice and consequently direct the Respondents to demark the lands in Representation Dt. 1-07-2004.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.A.V.SWAMY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed aggrieved by the alleged inaction on the part of the respondents in considering the request of the petitioner for demarcation of the land situated in Sy.Nos.447, 448, 449, 450, 461, 462, 463 and 466 of Neredmet Village, Malkajgiri Mandal, R.R. District.

It is the case of the petitioner that though he made several representations, the first respondent-District Collector, R.R. District, failed to take any steps for demarcation.

The learned Government Pleader for Revenue states that the competent authority to effect the demarcation is the Mandal Revenue Officer and it is also necessary to remit the necessary fees for the demarcation and the petitioner has not yet remitted the same. It is also stated that the petitioner was informed vide Memo No.A/2432/2005 dated 27-12-2004 to remit the amount of Rs.160/- through challan at State Bank of Hyderabad, Gruha Kalpa, Nampally, but he has not remitted the amount so far.

In the circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to make a fresh application to the Mandal Revenue Officer, Malkajgiri Mandal, along with a challan for a sum of Rs.160/- as specified above. If any such application is made by the petitioner, the same shall be considered and appropriate steps shall be taken, in accordance with law. No order as to costs.

_____

G. ROHINI, J

Dated: 04-02-2005

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER.

To

1. The District Collector, R.R. District.

2. The Dy.Director, Survey & Land Records, R.R. District.

3. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Malkajgiri Mandal, R.R.District.

4. Two C.Cs. to the Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (O.U.T.)

5. Two C.D. copies.